

## Maximal and Prime Ideals

If  $R$  is a ring and  $N$  is an ideal in  $R$  then  $R/N$  is also a ring (a factor ring). The question is under what conditions on  $R$  and  $N$  will  $R/N$  have special features (for example, be an integral domain or a field)?

Ex. If  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ , an integral domain, and  $N = p\mathbb{Z}$ , for a prime  $p$ , then the factor ring  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$  which is a field.

Ex. The ring  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  is not an integral domain because if  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and nonzero

$$(0, a), (b, 0) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \text{ but } (0, a)(b, 0) = (0, 0),$$

However, let  $N = \{(n, 0) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .  $N$  is an ideal in  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  because for any  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$

$$(a, b)(n, 0) = (an, 0) \in N$$

$$\text{and } (n, 0)(a, b) = (na, 0) \in N.$$

Then  $(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z})/N$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}$  under the map:

$$(0, k) + N \rightarrow k, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Thus the factor ring of a ring can be an integral domain even if the original ring is not.

Ex.  $N = \{0, 5\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{10}$  is an ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}_{10}$ , and  $\mathbb{Z}_{10}/N$  has 5 elements:

$$0 + N, \quad 1 + N, \quad 2 + N, \quad 3 + N, \quad 4 + N.$$

$\mathbb{Z}_{10}/N \cong \mathbb{Z}_5$  under the map:

$$k + N \leftrightarrow k.$$

Thus if  $R$  is not even an integral domain it's still possible for  $R/N$  to be a field.

Ex.  $\mathbb{Z}$  is an integral domain but  $\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}_8$  is not.

Thus, a factor ring may have a stronger structure than the original ring (like the example  $\mathbb{Z}_{10}/N \cong \mathbb{Z}_5$ ) or a weaker structure than the original ring (like  $\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}_8$ ).

Def. Every non-zero ring  $R$  has at least two ideals. The entire ring  $R$  is an ideal, called the **improper ideal** of  $R$ . And  $\{0\}$  is an ideal of  $R$  called the **trivial ideal** of  $R$ . A **proper, nontrivial ideal** of a ring  $R$  is an ideal  $N$  of  $R$  such that  $N \neq R$  and  $N \neq \{0\}$ .

Theorem: If  $R$  is a ring with unity and  $N$  is an ideal of  $R$  containing a unit, then  $N = R$ .

Proof: Let  $N$  be an ideal of  $R$ , and suppose that  $u \in N$  a unit in  $R$ .

Thus the condition  $aN \subseteq N$  for all  $a \in R$  implies that  $u^{-1}N \subseteq N$ .

Since  $u \in N \Rightarrow u^{-1}(u) = 1 \in N$ .

But then  $aN \subseteq N \Rightarrow a(1) \subseteq N$  for all  $a \in R$ .

Thus  $N = R$ .

Corollary: A field contains no proper nontrivial ideals.

Proof: Since every non-zero element of a field is a unit, any nontrivial ideal of a field contains a unit and must equal the field.

Def. A **maximal ideal** of a ring  $R$  is an ideal  $M$  different from  $R$  such that there is no proper ideal  $N$  of  $R$  properly containing  $M$ .

Ex. Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $p\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $p$  a prime number, is an ideal and a maximal ideal.

$$p\mathbb{Z} = \{\dots, -3p, -2p, -p, 0, p, 2p, 3p, \dots\}.$$

$N = 6\mathbb{Z} = \{\dots, -18, -12, -6, 0, 6, 12, 18, \dots\}$  is an ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}$  but it is not maximal as both the ideals,

$$N_1 = 2\mathbb{Z} = \{\dots, -8, -6, -4, -2, 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, \dots\}$$

$$N_2 = 3\mathbb{Z} = \{\dots, -12, -9, -6, -3, 0, 3, 6, 9, 12, \dots\}$$

have the property that  $N \subsetneq N_1$  and  $N \subsetneq N_2$ . Thus  $N$  is not a maximal ideal.

Theorem: Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unity. Then  $M$  is a maximal ideal of  $R$  if, and only if,  $R/M$  is a field.

Corollary: A commutative ring with unity is a field if, and only if, it has no proper nontrivial ideals.

Proof: A field has no proper nontrivial ideals. If a commutative ring with unity has no nontrivial ideals, then  $\{0\}$  is a maximal ideal and  $R/\{0\}$ , which is isomorphic to  $R$ , is a field by the previous theorem.

If  $R$  is a commutative ring with unity when is  $R/N$  an integral domain?

$R/N$  is an integral domain if it doesn't have any zero divisors:

if  $(a + N)(b + N) = N$  then either

$$a + N = N \text{ or } b + N = N.$$

This amounts to saying if  $ab \in N$  then either  $a \in N$  or  $b \in N$ .

Def. An ideal  $N \neq R$  in a commutative ring  $R$  is a **prime ideal** if  $ab \in N$  implies that either  $a \in N$  or  $b \in N$ .

$\{0\}$  is always a prime ideal in an integral domain.

Ex. All ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}$  are of the form  $n\mathbb{Z}$ .

$n = 0$  gives  $n\mathbb{Z} = \{0\}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$ .

If  $n = p$  a prime number then  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$  which is an integral domain (in fact, it's a field).

If  $n = rs$ , where neither  $r$  nor  $s$  is 1, then  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{rs}$  is not an integral domain as  $(r)(s) \equiv 0 \pmod{rs}$ .

So  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  is an integral domain when  $n = p$ , a prime, or  $n = 0$ .

$p\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $p$  a prime, and  $\{0\}$  are prime ideals in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

So  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  is an integral domain when  $n\mathbb{Z}$  is a prime ideal.

Theorem: Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unity and let  $N \neq R$  be an ideal in  $R$ . Then  $R/N$  is an integral domain if, and only if,  $N$  is a prime ideal.

Corollary: Every maximal ideal in a commutative ring  $R$  with unity is a prime ideal.

Proof: If  $M$  is maximal in  $R$ , then  $R/M$  is a field and hence an integral domain and therefore  $M$  is a prime ideal.

Ex. Show that  $\{0\} \times \mathbb{Z}$  is a prime ideal of  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ , but not a maximal ideal.

If  $(a, b)(c, d) \in \{0\} \times \mathbb{Z}$  then  $ac = 0$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

This implies either  $a = 0$  or  $c = 0$ .

Thus  $(a, b)$  or  $(c, d) \in \{0\} \times \mathbb{Z}$ .

So  $\{0\} \times \mathbb{Z}$  is prime.

Notice that  $(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z})/(\{0\} \times \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  which is an integral domain.

$\{0\} \times \mathbb{Z} \subsetneq n\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n \neq 0, 1$ , so  $\{0\} \times \mathbb{Z}$  is not maximal.

Ex. Find all prime ideals in  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

The ideals in  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  are:

$$\mathbb{Z}_6, 2\mathbb{Z}_6 = \{0, 2, 4\}, 3\mathbb{Z}_6 = \{0, 3\}, \text{ and } \{0\}.$$

$\{0\}$  is not a prime ideal because  $(2)(3) \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$

If  $ab \in 2\mathbb{Z}_6 = \{0, 2, 4\}$ , then either  $a$  or  $b$  is in  $2\mathbb{Z}_6$  so  $2\mathbb{Z}_6$  is prime.

If  $ab \in 3\mathbb{Z}_6 = \{0, 3\}$ , then either  $a$  or  $b$  must be a multiple of 3 so  $a$  or  $b$  is in  $3\mathbb{Z}_6$ . So  $3\mathbb{Z}_6$  is prime.

By definition a prime ideal  $\neq R$  so  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  is not a prime ideal in  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ .

So  $2\mathbb{Z}_6, 3\mathbb{Z}_6$  are the prime ideals in  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ .

The ideals in  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  are:

$$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2, \{0\} \times \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \{0\}, \text{ and } \{0\} \times \{0\}.$$

If  $(a, b)(c, d) \in \{0\} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ , then  $ac = 0$  thus either  $a = 0$ , which means  $(a, b) \in \{0\} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  or  $c = 0$ , which means  $(c, d) \in \{0\} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Thus  $\{0\} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  is prime.

A similar argument shows that  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \{0\}$  is prime.

$\{0\} \times \{0\}$  is not prime because  $(1, 0)(0, 1) = (0, 0)$ .

$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  cannot be prime in  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

So the prime ideals in  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  are:  $\{0\} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \{0\}$ .

So to summarize, for a commutative ring  $R$  with unity:

- 1) An ideal  $M$  of  $R$  is maximal if, and only if,  $R/M$  is a field.
- 2) An ideal  $N$  of  $R$  is prime if, and only if,  $R/N$  is an integral domain.
- 3) Every maximal ideal of  $R$  is a prime ideal.

We will not prove this, but every field  $F$  contains either a subfield isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , for some prime  $p$ , or a subfield isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Thus  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$  are the fundamental building blocks of all fields.

Def. The fields  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$  are called **prime fields**.

Def. If  $R$  is a commutative ring with unity and  $a \in R$ , the ideal  $\{ra \mid r \in R\}$  of all multiples of  $a$  is the **principal ideal generated by  $a$**  and is denoted  $\langle a \rangle$ . An ideal  $N$  of  $R$  is a **principal ideal** if  $N = \langle a \rangle$  for some  $a \in R$ .

Ex. Every ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}$  is of the form  $n\mathbb{Z}$ , which is generated by  $n$ , so every ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a principal ideal.

Ex. The ideal  $\langle x \rangle$  in  $F[x]$ ,  $F$  a field, consists of all polynomials in  $F[x]$  having zero constant term.

Theorem: If  $F$  is a field then every ideal in  $F[x]$  is principal.

Theorem: An ideal  $\langle p(x) \rangle \neq \{0\}$  of  $F[x]$  is maximal if, and only if,  $p(x)$  is irreducible over  $F$ .

Proof: Suppose  $\langle p(x) \rangle$  is maximal.

If  $p(x) = q(x)t(x)$ ,  $q(x), t(x)$  nonconstant polynomials then both are lower degree and  $\langle q(x) \rangle \subsetneq \langle p(x) \rangle$ . So  $\langle p(x) \rangle$  is not maximal, thus  $p(x)$  must be irreducible.

Assume  $p(x)$  is irreducible over  $F$ .

If  $\langle p(x) \rangle \subseteq N \subsetneq F[x]$ , then  $N$  is a principal ideal by our last theorem. So  $N = \langle t(x) \rangle$  and  $p(x) \in N$ :

$$p(x) = t(x)r(x).$$

But  $p(x)$  is irreducible so  $t(x)$  or  $r(x)$  is degree 0.

If  $t(x)$  is degree 0, then  $t(x)$  is a unit and  $\langle t(x) \rangle = F[x]$ .

If  $r(x)$  is degree 0 then  $r(x) = c \in F$  and  $t(x) = \frac{1}{c}p(x)$  and

$\langle t(x) \rangle \subseteq \langle p(x) \rangle$  so  $N = \langle p(x) \rangle$ , so  $\langle p(x) \rangle$  is maximal.

Ex.  $x^3 + x + 1$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}_5[x]$  (it has no zeros), so  $\langle x^3 + 3x + 2 \rangle$  is a maximal ideal and  $\mathbb{Z}_5[x]/\langle x^3 + x + 1 \rangle$  is a field.

Ex.  $x^2 - 3$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ , so  $\mathbb{Q}[x]/\langle x^2 - 3 \rangle$  is a field.



Ex. Find all  $c$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  such that  $\mathbb{Z}_3[x]/\langle (x^3 + cx^2 + 1) \rangle$  is a field.

We need to find all  $c$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  such that  $x^3 + cx^2 + 1$  is irreducible.

Since it's degree 3 we just need to show it has no zero in  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ . Test  $c$  values.

$$c = 0: x^3 + 1;$$

$$x = 0; \quad 0^3 + 1 = 1;$$

$$x = 1, \quad 1^3 + 1 = 2;$$

$$x = 2; \quad 2^3 + 1 = 2^3 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}.$$

So  $x^3 + 1$  does have a zero and is not irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}_3[x]$ .

$$c = 1: x^3 + x^2 + 1;$$

$$x = 0; \quad 0^3 + 0^2 + 1 = 1;$$

$$x = 1; \quad 1^3 + 1 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}.$$

So it also has a zero and is not irreducible.

$$c = 2: x^3 + 2x^2 + 1;$$

$$x = 0; \quad 0^3 + 2(0^2) + 1 = 1;$$

$$x = 1; \quad 1^3 + 2(1^2) + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{3};$$

$$x = 2, \quad 2^3 + 2(2^2) + 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}.$$

So  $x^3 + 2x^2 + 1$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}_3[x]$ .

Thus only for  $c = 2$  is  $\mathbb{Z}_3[x]/\langle (x^3 + cx^2 + 1) \rangle$  a field.

Theorem: Let  $p(x)$  be an irreducible polynomial in  $F[x]$ . If  $p(x)$  divides  $q(x)t(x)$  for  $q(x), t(x) \in F[x]$ , then either  $p(x)$  divides  $q(x)$  or  $p(x)$  divides  $t(x)$ .

Proof: Suppose  $p(x)$  divides  $q(x)t(x)$ .

Then  $q(x)t(x) \in \langle p(x) \rangle$ , which is maximal since  $p(x)$  is irreducible.

Therefore,  $\langle p(x) \rangle$  is a prime ideal, since every maximal ideal in a commutative ring with unity is prime.

Hence,  $q(x)t(x) \in \langle p(x) \rangle$  implies  $q(x) \in \langle p(x) \rangle$  or  $t(x) \in \langle p(x) \rangle$ .

Therefore,  $p(x)$  divides  $q(x)$  or  $p(x)$  divides  $t(x)$ .